



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,288	08/20/2003	Francis Luca Conte	23FLC31	4031
20185	7590	07/28/2004		EXAMINER
FRANCIS L CONTE				ROWAN, KURT C
6 PURITAN AVENUE				
SWAMPSCOTT, MA 01907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	10/643,288	Applicant(s)	CONTE, FRANCIS LUCA
Examiner	Kurt Rowan	Art Unit	3643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8202003.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kopp.

The patent to Kopp shows an insect swatter having an elongate rod 2 having a proximal end and a distal end. Kopp shows an elastic lash 5 and having a proximal end 4 fixedly joined to the distal end of the rod and an opposite distal end sized for being elastically stretched from the rod distal end to adjacent said rod proximal end so that release of said lash contracts the lash for swatting the distal end against an insect. Kopp shows all of the elements recited with the exception of two rubber bands being joined together. Kopp shows one rubber band. However, given one rubber band, it would have been obvious to employ two for multiplied effect. See *In re Harza*, 124 USPQ 378. Further it would have been obvious to join the rubber bands together to increase the range of the weapon. In reference to claim 3, Kopp shows a latch 6 and means 9 for selectively releasing the latch. Does applicant wish to invoke 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph? In reference to claims 2 and 4, Kopp shows all the method steps recited.

3. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kopp as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Watkins.

The patents to Kopp and Watkins show insect swatters. Kopp has been discussed above and does not show a latch pivotally joined to the handgrip. Kopp shows a handgrip 1. The patent to Watkins shows a gun handgrip 12 joined to the proximal end of the rod B and having a latch 18 pivotally joined to the handgrip. In reference to claim 5, it would have been obvious to provide Kopp with a pivoted latch as shown by Watkins for the purpose of providing easier cocking. In reference to claims 6 and 8, both Kopp and Watkins show stretching the lash, latching the distal end of the lash to the latch, gripping the handgrip to aim the rod distal end at an insect (see Fig. 1 of Kopp), and pulling the trigger to release the latch to contact the lash for swatting the insect. In reference to claim 7, Watkins shows a keyhole slot 34. Watkins shows one rubber band connecting the slot to the latch, but it would have been obvious to employ two rubber bands for the same reasons stated above. In reference to claim 9, Watkins shows the latch extending upwardly from the handgrip and keyhole slot 18 extending open downwardly in a direction opposite the upward extension of the latch. Watkins shows the lash 14 extending upwardly from the keyhole slot to the latch in Fig. 2.

4. Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kopp in view of Watkins as applied to claims 5-11 above, and further in view of Stone. The patents to Kopp and Watkins have been discussed above. The patent to Stone shows a rubber band gun having a trigger 22, a latch 30, as part of the hammer, for holding rubber band 33. Stone shows a spring 27 connect to the trigger and the part of

the barrel 10 which is connected to handgrip 17. In reference to claim 10, Kopp and Watkins do not show a pivot able latch, but the patent to Stone shows a latch 30, 37 that pivots between a cocked position as shown in Fig. 3 and a fired position as shown in Fig. 2. It would have been obvious to provide the rubber band gun of Kopp as modified by Watkins with a pivoted latch as shown by Stone since merely one latch is being substituted for another and the function is the same noting no stated problem is solved. In reference to claim 11, none of Kopp, Watkins or Stone show a thumb grip, but it would have been obvious to employ a thumb grip to make cocking easier. The examiner takes Judicial Notice that thumb grips for triggers are old and well known in the art. In reference to claim 12, Stone shows a spring 27 to return the trigger for the purpose of returning the trigger to a cocked position. In refernce to claim 13, the combination of Kopp as modified by Watkins and Stone envisions the method steps in the claim, In refernce to claim 14, the combination of Kopp as modified by Watkins and Stone also envisions that the cocked length is selected for stretching the rubber band or lash to about its maximum stretchable length without breaking for the purpose of getting maximum range from the swatter. In reference to claim 15, the combination does not recite that the cocked length is at least three times greater than the unstretched length of the lash but it would have been obvious to employ this length since the definition of an elastic material is one that stretches three times its original length. In reference to claim 16, it would have been obvious to employ first and second bands having equal length with the knot midway along the cocked length since the function is the same and no stated problem is solved.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Kurt Rowan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3643

KR